



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

27700 Hilliard Blvd.

Westlake, OH 44145

Phone 440.871.3300

Fax 440.617.4324

**WESTLAKE PLANNING COMMISSION
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
MAY 11 2015**

Present: Chairman Dan Meehan, Mark Getsay, Phil DiCarlo, Brad Lamb
Also Present: Planning Director Jim Bedell, Assistant Planning Director Will Krause,
Law Director John Wheeler, Clerk of Commissions Nicolette Sackman

Discussion of agenda items and fact finding was conducted at 7:00 p.m. The regular meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman Dan Meehan.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS

Chairman Meehan explained that Mr. Fatzinger resigned due to moving out of the city so new officers were needed for Vice Chairman and Secretary.

Motion: Mr. Getsay moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to elect Mr. Lamb Vice Chairman

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Motion: Mr. Getsay moved and Mr. Meehan second to elect Mr. DiCarlo Secretary

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Lamb moved, seconded by Mr. DiCarlo to approve the minutes of the regular meeting of April 6, 2015.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo

Abstain: Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

COUNCIL REPORT

Councilman Getsay reported on council items.

SELECTED CORRESPONDENCE - None

OLD BUSINESS

Crocker Park Sign Criteria and Master Sign Plan (LFA #38), revisions, within Crocker Park, rep. B Meng, Ward 5, tabled 4/6/15

Mr. Levitz reviewed LFA (large format advertising sign) #38 explained it is on the KSE II building facing Union St. At the last meeting there were concerns if the sign was primarily visible from Crocker Road. Heading southbound on Crocker Road the sign will not be seen at all and traveling northbound it would only be seen for a few seconds at the intersection of Crocker and Union as they have agreed not to install the sign until after the APG (A Parking Garage) building is constructed. He stressed that this sign is not a new sign and has been in the criteria and was previously approved in this location. What they are now doing is showing the location on the building as the KSE building has been split into two buildings instead of one building. Attorney Alyssa Kamm explained the only change is splitting the KSE building into two buildings and the sign is in the same location facing the same direction as previously approved. They have agreed to not install the sign until the APG building is constructed and the size of the sign has been reduced.

Mr. Krause reviewed his staff memo noting the history of the Crocker Park Sign Plan and Master Sign Criteria. Crocker Park as a PUD has been allowed special signs that are not permitted elsewhere in the city as they were internal to Crocker Park and not visible outside of Crocker Park. LFA #38 has been revised so it is 40' closer to Crocker Road but it has been reduced in size (a shorter sign). In 2010 a large banner sign was requested on the Regal Cinema bridge which started the discussion of large format advertising signs and the need to generate revenue through advertising within Crocker Park.

Lengthy discussion ensued between Attorney John Wheeler, Attorney Alyssa Kamm, Mr. Levitz, Mr. Krause and the commission regarding if LFA #38 is primarily visible from Crocker Road, if the angle of the sign can be changed to face into Crocker Park more, comparing the original location to the new location, the change in size of the sign, this sign and location have already been approved, that these types of signs should be internal to Crocker Park, the LFA above Brio is visible from Crocker Road, reviewed photos of the site, and the intent for these special types of signs to be internal to Crocker Park. The commission would like to see renderings of the sign angled 10° inward. Attorney Kamm advised that can be done but they have concerns with changing the angle too much as that would require structural changes to the building which would incur costs they were not anticipating since the sign had previously been approved.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table the Crocker Park Sign Criteria and Master Sign Plan (LFA #38) to the June 1st meeting.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Community Greek Orthodox Lot Split & Assembly, end of Fox Run (east of Beaver Creek), PP#214-29-018 & 215-25-010, rep. C. Szucs, Ward 1, tabled 4/6/15

Seybert-Reserve at Fox Run, lot split and assembly, 2347 Beaver Creek & vacant land to rear, PP# 214-

**30-084 & 214-29-018, rep. J. Orley, WARD 1
Demonica-Reserve at Fox Run, lot split and
assembly, 2337 Beaver Creek & vacant land to rear,
PP# 214-30-083 & 214-29-018, rep. J. Orley, WARD
1
Chadwick-Reserve at Fox Run, lot split and
assembly, 2317 Beaver Creek & vacant land to rear,
PP# 214-29-034 & 214-29-018, rep. J. Orley, WARD
1
Bowron-Reserve at Fox Run, lot split and assembly,
23435 Wingedfoot Dr. & vacant land to rear, PP#
214-30-101 & 214-29-018, rep. J. Orley, WARD 1
Reserve at Fox Run Subdivision Preliminary Plan,
end of Fox Run (east of Beaver Creek), 14 lots,
PP#214-29-018, rep. C. Szucs, Ward 1, tabled 4/6/15**

Mr. Orley explained they are purchasing 13.7 acres from the Community Greek Orthodox Church and will split it from the church property to create a subdivision. Mr. Hoffman, engineer, explained there are also four additional lot splits and assemblies as some of the surrounding neighbors wished to purchase property to assemble to their own lots as additional buffer.

Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo regarding the lot splits and assemblies for the Community Greek Orthodox Church, Seybert, Demonica, Chadwick and Bowron. The Demonica assembly will create an "L" shaped lot as the neighbor adjacent to the Demonica's were not interested in purchasing land so the Demonica's are purchasing that portion of land.

Regarding the subdivision Mr. Hoffman explained originally they were proposing 17 single family lots but due to the purchase of land by some of the neighbors the subdivision was reduced in size to 14 lots. There will be a dry retention basin on lots 1 and 2. Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo noting this is the preliminary plan and the first step in the subdivision process. To follow is a final plan which will include detailed engineering improvement plans. He reviewed the 1984 Guide Plan which showed the extension of this street but due to changes in the surrounding parcels the street will not connect to another street and will have a cul-du-sac. It is recommended that there be a home owner's association to handle maintenance of the retention basin in the future and suggested that an island be placed in the cul-du-sac. The developer and the Councilwoman Appel had a community meeting in March to review the proposal with the surrounding residents.

Mrs. Pam Gallagher, 23285 Pheasant Lane, expressed the following: concerns with construction traffic, the traffic pattern to be used and if vehicles would access the subdivision from Center Ridge Road, dirt, noise, and requested that the Quail Hollow entrance be used to access the subdivision not Center Ridge Road.

Mrs. Demonica 2337 Beaver Creek, expressed the following: she would not like to see the Quail Hollow entrance used as there is a bridge at the entrance that already has damaged cobblestones and further wear and tear would cause more damage, concerns with the damage to the bridge and sinking concrete, and the use of heavy machinery on the bridge.

It was explained that there are ordinances in place for noise and the developer is required to place a mud bond with the city's engineering department so streets can be swept and maintained during construction. It was also suggested to contact the Director of Engineering Bob Kelly regarding concerns for the damaged bridge.

Community Greek Orthodox Findings of Fact:

1. The platting process mandates that there be only one parcel prior to approval of the final plat.
2. The geographic boundaries of the new lot are the same as the proposed preliminary plan for Fox Run Subdivision and will not alter the proposed design of the subdivision.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Community Greek Orthodox Lot Split involving parcels 214-29-018 & 215-25-010

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Seybert Findings of Fact:

1. The lot split and assembly is being done to provide a buffer to the proposed Fox Run Subdivision.
2. The geographic boundaries of the new lot are the same as the proposed preliminary plan for Fox Run Subdivision and will not alter the proposed design of the subdivision.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. Getsay second to approve the Seybert-Reserve at Fox Run lot split and assembly involving parcels 214-30-084 and 214-29-018.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, Lamb

Abstain: DiCarlo

Nays: None, motion passed

Demonica Findings of Fact:

1. The lot split and assembly is being done to provide a buffer to the proposed Fox Run Subdivision.
2. The geographic boundaries of the new lot are the same as the proposed preliminary plan for Fox Run Subdivision and will not alter the proposed design of the subdivision.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Demonica -Reserve at Fox Run lot split and assembly involving parcels 214-30-083 and 214-29-018 with a modification granted for the irregular lot shape.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Chadwick Findings of Fact:

1. The lot split and assembly is being done to provide a buffer to the proposed Fox Run Subdivision.
2. The geographic boundaries of the new lot are the same as the proposed preliminary plan for Fox Run Subdivision and will not alter the proposed design of the subdivision.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Chadwick-Reserve at Fox Run lot split and assembly involving parcels 214-29-034 and 214-29-018.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Bowron Findings of Fact:

1. The lot split and assembly is being done to provide a buffer to the proposed Fox Run Subdivision.
2. The geographic boundaries of the new lot are the same as the proposed preliminary plan for Fox Run Subdivision and will not alter the proposed design of the subdivision.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. Getsay second to approve the Bowron-Reserve at Fox Run lot split and assembly involving parcels 214-30-101 and 214-29-018.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Reserve at Fox Run Findings of Fact:

1. The proposed location of the street is generally the same as the approved 1984 Guide Plan Map with changes to the road alignment and lots to reflect current requirements for minimum lot size requirements and surrounding development that makes it impossible to construct the roadway in the exact same location as shown in the guide plan.
2. These changes, as well as the shape of the area to be subdivided, resulted in the need for very minimal modifications for lot width to depth ratios for various lots. Even with these modifications, all lots will provide the required setbacks and square footage necessary for new home sites. Therefore, the modifications are in accordance with sections 1131.04 and 1127.01 of the Planning and Platting Code.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Fox Run Subdivision Preliminary Plan Major Subdivision with the following conditions:

1. The approval is subject to comments in the staff report.

2. Modifications are granted for lot width to depth ratios of .1 for lot 3, .3 for lots 7 and 12 and .4 for lot 14.
3. An island shall be constructed in the cul-de-sac with the planting design and maintenance to be presented with the final plat improvement plan.
4. A pedestrian connection to Tri-City Park shall be provided.
5. A homeowners association shall be established for the maintenance of the retention basin and cul-de-sac.
6. The approval is subject to approval of the final plans by the Building and Engineering Departments in compliance with the code and the ordinances of the City of Westlake; and, in the development process, should there be any changes necessitated by engineering requirements that visually alter the appearance of the development approved by the Planning Commission, the plan shall be re-submitted to the Planning Commission.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

NEW BUSINESS

Sur La Table Storefront & Sign Plan, 218 Main St., PP#211-25-004, rep. R. Levitz. WARD 5

Mr. Levitz reviewed the proposal for the storefront and sign plan. The storefront will consist of a dark grey stone veneer, wood trim, metal accents, and striped awnings. The door will be red as an accent color. He reviewed the proposed sign and the details for the signs. Mr. Krause reviewed his staff memo complimenting Mr. Levitz on the design.



Storefront Findings of Fact:

1. The storefront complies with the requirements of the design guidelines.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Sur La Table storefront as presented with the following conditions:

1. Condition that the red door is considered part of the storefront, not part of the sign area.
2. All departmental comments from Section III of the staff report are addressed

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Sign Plan Findings of Fact:

1. The proposal complies with the sign criteria.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Sur La Table sign plan.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**Rosewood Grill, Sign Plan, 2033 Crocker Rd.,
PP#211-27-004, rep. B. Petro, WARD 5**

Mr. Helsel, Cicogna Sign Co., reviewed the sign package which is for three wall signs and awnings. The wall signs will be front and back lit with white halo lighting. The rose logo will also be front and back lit but with a rose colored lighting. There are four awnings and three of the awnings will have illuminated letters and there will be accent lights.

Mr. Krause reviewed his staff memo noting the amount of sign area is permitted but a modification is need for one of the wall signs. Wall signs are limited to 20' above grade and while the logo is at 17' but above a portion of the building that is covered in roofing material which requires a modification. The logo is tastefully done as are the awnings.

Findings of Fact:

1. The proposed sign package falls within the amount of sign area available for the building.
2. The logo is mounted less than 20' above grade but is over a portion of the building that is covered in roofing material so therefore by definition is a roof sign.
3. The proposed awnings are externally and internally illuminated.
4. The only portion of the awnings that light will shine through are the white letters.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Rosewood Grill sign plan with the following condition and modifications:

1. Condition that the black fabric awnings are fully opaque except for the white letters as shown.
2. Modification to allow the awnings to be externally and internally illuminated as shown and not be all counted toward sign area.
3. Modification to allow the rose logo to be mounted at 17' above grade, above a portion of the building that is covered in roofing material.
4. Permit administrative approval of one panel on each face of the monument sign for up to 4.02 sq. ft. of sign area for Rosewood Grill.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**St. John's Medical Center, Development Plan
(community garden), 29000 Center Ridge, rep. C.
Stuhm, WARD 6**

Mr. Stuhm explained the city worked with St. John's Medical Center last year for a community garden. It was very successful and this year they are seeking to expand it. The garden is near Crocker Road and will have a deer fence surrounding the garden and rain barrels. Mr. Bedell reviewed his memo and noted since the fence is 1' taller than permitted by code the planning commission needs to grant a modification, which is why he could not administratively approve the proposal.

Findings of Fact:

*Planning Commission Minutes
May 11, 2015
Page 7 of 12*

1. This is a minor revision to an approved development plan to expand the existing community garden.
2. A 1' modification is required for the height of the fence.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the St. John's Medical Center Development Plan (community garden) with a 1' modification in the maximum height of the seasonal fence.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**Mallard Cove Subdivision, Final Plat, extending
Mallard Cove, PP#217-07-017, rep. B. McGuinness,
WARD 6**

Applicant or agent was not present.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table the Mallard Cove Subdivision to June 1st (applicant not present)

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**Ordinance 2015-47, rezoning Schneider's Mobile
Homes LLC, between Center Ridge & Westwood,
PP#215-01-011, 013 to 015 & 029, from R-MF-40 &
General Business District to R-MF-24 (multifamily
residential), ref. 4/5/15, WARD 2**

Applicant or agent was not present.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table Ordinance 2015-47 (applicant not present)

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**Crossings Village Sign Plan, PP#211-19-018, 1630
Crossings Village, rep. B. Petro, WARD 5**

Mr. Helsel, Cicogna Sign Co., explained the proposal was to change the existing stone monument sign face by adding an aluminum panel sign sleeve to match the sign at the other entrance. The base structure of the sign will remain the same. Mr. Krause reviewed his staff memo.

Findings of Fact:

1. The proposed sign re-facing matches the other existing monument sign and complies with code.

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Crossings Village sign re-facing as submitted.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

**American Greetings Sign Plan, 1 American Blvd.,
PP#211-29-005, rep. E Weiss, WARD 5**

Mr. Chris Collins, American Greetings, reviewed the proposal which is for numerous signs on and around the building. Signs consist of wall signs, logo signs, monument sign, and directional sign.

Mr. Krause reviewed in detail the proposed signs and what is permitted per the master sign criteria for Crocker Park for large scale tenants. This is a large building and unique tenant within Crocker Park and the criteria allows for special provisions regarding signage for a tenant this size. The monument sign is oversized but in comparison to the building does not look too big. Modifications will be needed for this sign and the planning commission can grant a waiver for an oversized sign. There will be a large rose logo sign mounted onto an architectural fin covered in red metal panels on the Crocker Road façade and an over-all smaller similar sign and feature on the opposite side of the building facing the H garage. Mr. Krause reviewed each sign and Mr. Krause's memo goes into details regarding the proposed signage.

Findings of Fact:

1. Section 1212.09 of the Westlake Codified Ordinances establishes that signage within a PUD is regulated by a sign criteria and master sign plan approved by the Planning Commission (PC).
2. Such a criteria was last amended and approved by PC on 5/20/13.
3. Section 11.2 and 11.5 are the sections that primarily deal with signage for office tenants larger than 80,000 sf.
4. American Greetings occupies an office building greater than 600,000 sf.
5. Section 11.2 permits individual signs up to 300 sf and a maximum combined allowable area for all Primary sign types up to 1,000 sf for office tenants of 100,000 sf or greater. It also allows PC to not count background area as sign area and to approve logos taller than 5'. Section 11.5 allows up to 75 sf of Secondary signage for major office tenants.
6. Section 1.3 of Criteria states that "Freestanding signs, are allowed, including project and building identity signs." And "Monument entranceway signs shall be permitted at each entrance into the development in accordance with the regulations in Chapter 1223 of the Codified Ordinances. As a PUD, Crocker Park will be allowed to have one (1) double-sided monument sign per driveway entrance to the development at a maximum area of 30 square feet or one (1) double-sided monument sign at a maximum of 40 square feet for each 800 lineal feet of frontage. Additionally, one (1) special "PUD District" monument sign in excess of forty (40) square feet may be allowed at the corner of Crocker and Detroit Roads, with the recommendation of the Planning Commission and approval by City Council."

Motion: Based upon the findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the American Greetings Sign Plan with the following conditions and waivers from the approved sign criteria for Crocker Park:

1. Waiver to not count the red area on the American Greetings building as sign area.
2. Waiver to permit 9' logo on the Crocker Road façade and 18.21' tall logo on the west plaza façade.
3. Condition that 18.21' tall logo is not visible from Crocker Road or non-Crocker Park residential properties.
4. Condition that any signage primarily visible outside of Crocker Park not exceed 10 lumens when measured 3' from the center of the sign face and any signage primarily visible within Crocker Park comply with illumination regulations in Section 13.4 of the Criteria.
5. Waiver to permit 101.96 sf of additional Secondary signage.
6. Waiver to permit 174.57 sf of window signage facing the west plaza as shown.
7. Waiver to permit 4' tall, 1.49 sf directional sign as shown.
8. Condition that the external illumination of the directional sign not glare into eyes of drivers or pedestrians.
9. Waiver to permit a monument sign with 120 sf face angled northward and 15.82 sf face angled southward.
10. Condition that the external illumination of the monument sign not glare into eyes of drivers or pedestrians and not exceed 10 lumens when measured 3' from the center of the face of the sign.


ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Crocker Park Landscape & Hardscape Block K, within Crocker Park, rep. B. Meng, WARD 5

Mr. Meng and Mr. Kerry Reeds were present to review the proposed landscape and hardscape for the K Block. Mr. Meng reviewed the proposed lighting which will have a variety of styles, effects and fixtures that will be used. They propose to use changing LED lighting as color waves on the laneway (the pedestrian alley between KSE I and KSE II) to create ambiance and interest. There will be light poles in Union Square which can coordinate with the LED light waves and lights that are strung on spans across the square and lane walkway that will give the appearance of a starry night. The trees will have up lighting along Union Street. Mr. Reeds reviewed the laneway hardscape materials and patterns to be used such as pavers, granite, sandblasted stained concrete, and concrete with sparkle accents. There will be movable seating and tables in the laneway and a variety of landscape materials. At the end of the laneway are bollards which will be removable for emergency access or events.

 Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo noting the proposal has a nice planting plan and he now has a better understanding of what is proposed for the lighting plan. Previous plans received lacked some details and the plans submitted earlier this evening have more details. The proposal will create an interesting and exciting sense of place. Questions to be answered are how will the LED color changing lights work and what type of a pattern will be used and

how often will they change. The tree preservation plans provided will support what is required for the development.

Members of the commission felt the proposal was exciting and they liked what they saw but since revised plans were received just before the meeting they would like to table the matter so they have time to review them. It was noted that the applicant would like to move forward with ordering the pavers as it will take time to receive them and some of the lights to be used are custom fixtures so they would like to be able to move forward with those items. It was decided that the pavers could be administratively approved by planning staff and the light fixtures still needed to be reviewed but the commission did like what they saw.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Crocker Park Landscape & Hardscape Block K Development Plan pavers (landscaping, lighting and site furniture is not approved) with the condition they are administratively approved by the planning director.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table the remainder of the Crocker Park Landscape & Hardscape Block K Development Plan.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

Suggested Code Amendment 1221.05 Schedule of Parking Requirements – tabled 4/6/15

Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo and reported that since the last meeting he further revised the draft ordinance. Changes made to 1211.11(a)(2) were that residential driveways/parking shall not cover more than 14% of an entire lot nor shall they cover more than 35% of a front yard. Also in 1221.11(a)(3)(B)(a) the maximum width of the driveway limited to 2 lanes when not within 25' of the garage. Mr. Bedell suggested adopting the amendment and reevaluating after several months use to determine if calibration is required. If need be the Board of Zoning Appeals can grant variances on a case by case basis or the code can further be amended in the future. The commission discussed the suggested changes and would like city council to draft legislation.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend legislation be drafted in accordance with Mr. Bedell's May 7, 2015 memo regarding residential parking.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Lamb

Nays: None, motion passed

MISCELLANEOUS - None

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 9:50 p.m. The next regular meeting is scheduled for Monday, June 1, 2015 in the Westlake City Hall Council Chambers.

Chairman Dan Meehan

Nicolette Sackman, MMC
Clerk of Commissions

Approved: July 6, 2015