



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

27700 Hilliard Blvd.

Westlake, OH 44145

Phone 440.871.3300

Fax 440.617.4324

**WESTLAKE PLANNING COMMISSION
MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING
SEPTEMBER 14, 2015**

Present: Chairman Dan Meehan, Mark Getsay, Phil DiCarlo, Brad Lamb, Duane Van Dyke

Also Present: Planning Director Jim Bedell, Law Director John Wheeler, Clerk of Commissions Nicolette Sackman

Discussion of agenda items and fact finding was conducted at 7:00 p.m. The regular meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Chairman Dan Meehan.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Lamb moved, seconded by Mr. DiCarlo to approve the minutes of the regular meeting of August 3, 2015.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

COUNCIL REPORT

None

SELECTED CORRESPONDENCE

9/3/15 letter from Sachin Patel re: Holiday Inn Express blue lights on building

OLD BUSINESS

Ordinance 2012-115 Rezone land near Center Ridge and on Crocker, PP#217 27 001 & 217 25 002 from R-1F-80 (Single Family) to Planned Unit Development, Ward 6, ref. by council 9/20/12, tabled 4/6/15 & requested extension of time to expire 9/14/2015, *requesting extension of time*

Mr. Bedell advised an extension of time is needed and then to table the matter.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to request an extension of time until March 7, 2016 for Ordinance 2012-115.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table Ordinance 2012-115.
ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:
Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke
Nays: None, motion passed

Travel Centers of America Sign Plan, 24601 Center Ridge Rd., PP#215-27-006, rep. M. Lauretano, Ward 2, tabled 8/3/15 applicant not present

Applicant or agent was not present

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table the Travel Centers of America sign plan to the 10/5/15 meeting.
ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:
Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke
Nays: None, motion passed

Holiday Inn Express Sign Plan, 30500 Clemens Rd., PP#211-15-016, rep. B. Kelleher, Ward 3, tabled 8/3/15

Mr. Ohem Patel was present and the sign representative was not present. Mr. Patel reviewed the proposed signage. One plan shows the wall signs at the top of the building at the parapet and the other plan shows the wall signs 20' above grade per code requirement. The south and the west elevations face commercial property and do not face any residential property.

Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting the signage had been revised so that area modifications were not necessary and two wall plans were submitted. One plan complies with the code with the wall signs being mounted no more than 20' above grade and the other does not with signs being mounted well above 20'. He reviewed the heights of other hotel signs in the city (see findings of fact) advising the signs proposed to be at the parapet of the building will be higher than any other signs on hotels.

Mr. Greg Zellers, contractor on the project, explained the taller proposed height would be visible above the tree line as trees are being preserved along Clemens Road that are approximately 30' tall. If the wall signs are mounted 20' above grade they will not be visible most of the year due to the foliage on the trees.

Mr. Patel advised they can look at other locations on the building for lower signs but proposed the taller signs so they would be visible to clients. Chairman Meehan advised when the development plan was presented the applicant was advised that signs would not be favorable on the parapets and to keep that in mind when designing the building and the sign package. Discussion ensued on the proposed locations for the wall signs with the commission not being in favor of the proposed wall signs on the parapet of the building. They were open to something above 20' but less than the proposed parapet height and suggested tabling the wall signs for a new proposal. It was suggested that the applicant work with the planning staff to determine a resolution that may work.

Findings-of-fact:

1. The sign package has been revised to conform to code in every way except for the mounting height above grade.

2. The development plans were recommended for approval 4/7/14 with parapet walls that appear designed to serve as a back drop for wall signs.
3. Two of the proposed signs can technically be considered roof signs because they are mounted higher than the flat roof behind the parapet walls which is not visible. They are mounted at 63' and 64' above grade (43' & 44' higher above grade than permitted.) The other wall sign is mounted at 56' above grade (36' higher above grade than permitted.)
4. On 1/12/15 Planning Commission approved a sign plan for a re-branded Doubletree hotel at 1100 Crocker Road. The Doubletree is located in Interchange Services District which does not have a height restriction for signage above grade. Their signage is mounted at 47' and 27' above grade. Because it has more than 800' of frontage code allows it to have one monument sign of 40 sq. ft. The Double tree hotel was granted a 2' height modification for one 6' tall logo.
5. The motel located directly to the east of this property, at 30360 Clemens, in Hotel/Motel District had signage approved in the eave at 31.5' above grade. This was in 1996 before the code was amended to limit wall signs to no more than 20' above grade except for buildings in Interchange Services District.
6. The Residence Inn at 30100 Clemens Rd., in Hotel/Motel District does not have any wall signage.
7. The Red Roof Inn at 29545 Clemens Rd., in Interchange Services District, has wall signage at 23' above grade.
8. The Hampton Inn on Detroit Rd. was approved in 1985, it is in Interchange Services District. It has a wall sign at 41' above grade.
9. The Courtyard Marriott on Sperry Rd. was approved in 1996, it is in Interchange Services District. It has a wall sign at 46.5' above grade.
10. The Marriott Town Place motel on Sperry Rd. was approved in 1997, it is in Hotel/Motel District. It does not have any wall signage.
11. If approved as submitted, this wall signage will be the higher than any other wall signage in the city on a hotel or motel, including hotels and motels in Interchange Services District where there is no limitation on the height of wall signage above grade.
12. The Holiday Inn Express is located farther from a freeway ramp than any other existing hotel or motel in the city.
13. The owner stated in a letter dated 9/3/15 that they are no longer requesting blue up-lights on the building.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Holiday Inn Express monument sign as submitted.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table the Holiday Inn Express wall sign plan to the 10/5/15 meeting.


ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Miami Nights Development Plan, addition, 857
Columbia Rd., PP#213-08-026, rep., L. Sampat,
Ward 1**

Mr. Leon Sampat explained the plans had been revised to show the planting beds, trees and more detailed landscape plans. He reviewed the proposal. An alternate is being proposed for the patio with a larger patio roof structure with roll down doors to be used to secure the patio area when not in use and to protect the bar from the elements. It is possible the roll down doors will not be used and the original doors will be used as they are working with the building department on some outstanding issues for the patio such as occupancy and fire sprinklers.

 Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo noting the landscape plan is much better than what was previously presented. He reviewed the roll down doors and columns to be used within the patio roof structure design. The larger roof structure presented requires four more parking spaces and it is recommended to grant a modification to reduce the number of parking spaces as it is the applicant's intent to only utilize the enclosed patio during warm months.

Findings of Fact:

1. The proposal meets zoning code requirements for landscaping.
2. Additional parking is required for the bar area that is being enclosed.
3. The roll up doors shall be in the style indicated in Part II of the staff report.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second recommend approval of the Miami Nights Landscape Plan and amend the Miami Nights Development Plan (alternate design) with the following conditions:

1. A modification is granted to reduce the number of parking spaces by four.
2. The approval is subject to comments in Part III of the staff report and approval of the final plans by the Building and Engineering Departments in compliance with the code and the ordinances of the City of Westlake; and, in the development process, should there be any changes necessitated by engineering requirements that visually alter the appearance of the development approved by the Planning Commission, the plan shall be re-submitted to the Planning Commission

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:


Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

NEW BUSINESS

**Miami Nights Sign Plan, 857 Columbia Rd., PP#213-
08-026, rep., J. Bradley, Ward 1**

Mr. Sampat and Mr. Wagner, Wagner Signs, reviewed the sign plan. Two options have been presented. Option A is to allow 142 sf of sign area and Option B eliminates one of the wall signs, therefore reducing the sign area.

 Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting Option B does not require any modifications. Discussion ensued that the commission cannot regulate content and the martini glasses being used to represent the letter "i" in the sign is permitted and treated like a logo. It was

suggested that the sign might be difficult to read with the use of the martini glasses for the letter “i” but if the applicant wishes to use them they are permitted to do so. Members of the commission were in favor of Option B, as it does not require modifications.

Findings of Fact:

1. Since this is a corner lot, with two main facades, granting a small modification for the proposed additional signage as shown in Option A is reasonable.
2. By eliminating one of the duplicate sign elements in Option B a sign area modification is not needed for Option B.
3. Section 1223.10(b) of the Westlake sign code states: “the number of items (letters, symbols, shapes) should be consistent with the amount of information which can be comprehended by the viewer, avoid visual clutter and improve legibility.”
4. Eliminating one of the duplicate sign elements reduces visual clutter.
5. Courts rule that sign codes must be content neutral.
6. Depicting martini glasses for “i’s” is content.
7. Color is addressed in Section 1223.10(c) which states that all wall signs on the same lot shall have consistency in size, design and color ... consistency of design includes uniformity of colors or harmonious use of a limited range of compatible colors.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve Option B.


ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**USG Interiors, Sign Plan, 1000 Crocker Rd.,
PP#211-14-008, rep. B. Smith, Ward 3**

Mr. Bret Smith explained the proposal is for additional signage for the applicant. Some of the signs have already been refaced and administratively approved. Two of the proposed signs are internally located within the site and will not be visible from the street.

 Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting the signs are more visible from within the site due to their locations and modifications are needed.

Findings of Fact:

1. USG is re-imaging and requires approval for one larger, non-illuminated replacement wall sign and modifications for two new 6’ tall non-illuminated logo elements, one of which is mounted 33.83’ above grade, but which is not visible off-site.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second approve the USG sign plan with two modifications:

1. 2’ height modification to permit two 6’ tall logo elements;
2. 13.83’ height modification to allow one new wall sign to be mounted 33.83’ above grade.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Orvis, Storefront & Sign Plan, 224 Main St.,
PP#211-24-308, rep. R. Levitz, Ward 5**

Mr. Levitz reviewed the proposal which is for a new tenant space in the GNW building. The storefront materials are rustic with stone and wood features. The signage is simple and they are proposing a poster frame in the window which is not signage but to display art.

Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo. Members of the commission felt the storefront was well designed with architectural elements. It was questioned if the signage on the awnings could be a straight letter design rather than the proposed curved design and Mr. Levitz explained the curved letters are the company's logo and permitted. Mr. Jason Williams, Orvis rep., explained the logo was revised a few years ago and the curved letters is the company's logo and cannot be changed.

Storefront Findings of Fact:

1. The storefront is primarily horizontal wood paneling and applied stone with a wood "trellis" portal with a copper roof. Light bronze doors and window trim and two dark green awnings complete the storefront.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Orvis storefront as presented.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Sign Plan Findings of Fact:

1. A 36 sq. ft. "light box" suspended near the front window functions like a poster display case as defined in Section 9.1 of the Crocker Park Sign Criteria.
2. Section 11.1 allows up to 60 sq. ft. of poster display cases for a retail tenant of less than 20,000 sq. ft.
3. There are four types of secondary signs, including the poster display case, and 91.16 sq. ft. of overall sign area.
4. This tenant space is permitted up to three types of secondary signs and 88.02 sq. ft. of sign area.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Orvis sign plan as submitted with a:

1. Modification to allow 1 extra secondary sign types;
2. Modification to allow and extra 3.14 sq. ft. of sign area.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Burntwood Tavern, Storefront, 12 Main St.,
PP#211-25-004, rep. R. Levitz, Ward 5**

Mr. Levitz explained the signs were administratively approved as face changes and the proposal is just for the storefront. The storefront materials will be comprised of grey painted plaster, copper awnings, and reclaimed wood features. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting the gas fire pit that is proposed is not approved by the fire department and cannot be used.

Discussion ensued that the applicant will look to see if a different type of fire pit can be used and if not there will not be a fire pit. The commission did not have an issue if a future fire pit was administratively approved by the planning director as long as any possible future fire pit is approved by the fire and building departments prior to being administratively approved by the planning department. Mr. Levitz passed out an alternate reclaimed wood pattern/design for a portion of the side elevation at the meeting and the commission preferred that to what was initially submitted as it provides a more finished appearance that is in keeping with the Crocker Park Mixed-Use Area Design Guidelines.

Findings of Fact:

1. A modification from Section 10.5 of the Crocker Park Mixed-Use Area Design Guidelines is required to approve the reclaimed wood material.
2. The modification is supported by Section 10.1 of the Crocker Design Guidelines that states that the guidelines are “intended to restrict creativity as little as possible...each shop should become a distinct and expressive participant in creating Crocker Park’s ‘sense of place’...The collection of these unique storefronts will make the street experience a truly remarkable one...Placing strict limitations on their ingenuity is counterproductive to the ultimate goal of creating active and visually stimulating streets...”.
3. The Fire Department did not approve the gas fire pit because it is in violation of OFC 307.4.2 and 308.3.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Burntwood Tavern Storefront Plan with the following conditions:

1. A modification from the Crocker Park Mixed-Use Area Design Guidelines is granted for the use of reclaimed wood material.
2. That Option A is used for the reclaimed wood feature.
3. Upon approval of the fire and building departments any fire pit the planning department may administratively approve such pit without a necessity of submission to the planning commission (the fire pit presented is not approved).
4. The approval is subject to comments in Part III of the staff report and approval of the final plans by the Building and Engineering Departments in compliance with the code and the ordinances of the City of Westlake; and, in the development process, should there be any changes necessitated by engineering requirements that visually alter the appearance of the development approved by the Planning Commission, the plan shall be re-submitted to the Planning Commission

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke
Nays: None, motion passed

Beauty Brands, Storefront and Sign Plan, 87 Main St., PP#211-25-004, rep. R. Levitz, Ward 5

Mr. Levitz reviewed the storefront and sign plan which is an elegant simplistic design incorporating Venetian plaster and patterned awnings. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting no modifications are needed.

Storefront Findings of Fact:

1. The storefront is primarily a monolithic Venetian plaster façade with wide pilasters and long horizontal awnings in a 1970s retro pattern. Grey aluminum doors and window trim and a 6” limestone base complete the very simple storefront.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Beauty Brands storefront as presented.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Sign Plan Findings of Fact:

1. The sign plan complies with the sign criteria and does not require any modifications.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Beauty Brand sign plan as submitted.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Crocker Professionals Building, Development Plan, Crocker & Center Ridge, PP#217-26-001, 002, & 009, rep. W. Davison, Ward 6

The applicant was requested to provide additional information so the item is being tabled until the next meeting to provide the applicant time to provide documents.

Motion: Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to table Crocker Professionals Building to the October 5, 2015 meeting.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

Vote: 5 ayes, 0 nays, motion carried

Crocker Park HNW, Lot Split, American Blvd., PP#211-29-074, rep. J. Plautz, Ward 5

Mr. Jeff Plautz explained the proposal is to provide vertical splits of the parcel, similar to previous splits in the development. This will split out the retail from the office floors above. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo.

Findings of Fact:

1. The lot split is being done to provide accurate assessments of the property relative to taxes.
2. Such lot splits are typically done at Crocker Park for this purpose.
3. The split does not result any changes to the approved development plan for the HNW building.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Crocker Park HNW Lot Split involving parcel 211-29-074.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Hospice of the Western Reserve, Sign Plan, 30080
Hospice Way, PP#211-15-003 to 005, 008 & 014, rep.
C. Zingale, Ward 3**

Mr. Chuck Zingale explained they wish to replace two existing entrance signs that are not helping to direct clients to the site. The new signs will be double sided internally illuminated ground signs. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting that the Health Campus District does not fall under the regular sign code and what is being proposal is permitted.

Findings of Fact:

1. Signage for Health Campus Zoning District does not fall under the regular sign code.
2. The additional proposed sign is needed for wayfinding due to the unique location and setting for this institution.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the proposed sign.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Bur Oak Phase II Subdivision, Final Plat, Bur Oak
Dr., PP#211-25-007 & 211-07-037, rep. K. Kelly,
Ward 5**

Mr. Kevin Kelly was present and explained they are seeking approval of the final plat. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting the final plat is the same layout as the preliminary plan approved and the same modifications will be needed for the final plat.

Findings of Fact:

1. Minor modifications for depth to width ratios and side lot lines not radial to the street are necessary for several lots due to the unique shape of the property that is being subdivided.
2. The subdivision improvement plan was approved by the City Engineer.
3. These modifications are within the intent and spirit of the subdivision regulations, since they will produce a subdivision with "...attractive and harmonious neighborhoods, convenient and safe streets and economical layouts of residential, business and industrial development" in accordance with chapter 1127.01.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Bur Oak Phase II Subdivision Final Plat with the following conditions:

1. Comments received in Part III of this report are addressed.
2. Modifications are granted for depth to width ratio for the following lots: lot 41 - .3 modification, lot 42 - .1 lot modification, lot 49 - .2 modification, lot 50 - .3 modification, lot 51 - .2 modification.
3. Modifications are granted for side lot lines that are not radial for lots 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52.
4. The temporary cul-de-sac for Bur Oak Drive shall be constructed to Engineering Department standards.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Bur Oak Phase III, Lot Split & Assembly, 31365
Avon Rd., PP#211-05-001 to 006, rep. K Kelly,
Ward 5**

Mr. Kevin Kelly was present and explained they are seeking approval of the Phase III lot split and assembly. Mr. Bedell reviewed the staff memo noting this is the first step of the platting process and is required before the Bur Oak Phase III Subdivision Preliminary Plan is submitted. This split and assembly will give the parcel on Avon Road frontage to the Bur Oak cul-de-sac so that Avon Road could possibly be vacated in the future when Phase III is completed.

Findings of Fact:

1. The platting process mandates that there be only one parcel prior to approval of the preliminary plat.
2. The preliminary plan for the Bur Oak Phase III Subdivision will be presented to the Planning Commission for consideration at a future meeting.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to approve the Bur Oak Phase III Lot Split & Assembly involving parcels 211-05-001 to 006.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Westlake Community Center, Development Plan,
fence, 29694 Center Ridge, PP#217-11-011, rep. L.
Gadd, Ward 6**

Ms. Lydia Gadd, Director of Community Services explained they would like to install a 6' tall white vinyl fence between the parking lot and the bocce ball court to provide screening and privacy. Mr. Bedell reviewed his staff memo and reviewed the site plan.

Findings of Fact:

1. Since this property was developed prior to the requirement of a development plan, staff was unable to approve this administratively as a minor revision to an approved development plan.
2. The proposed fence meets zoning code requirements.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Westlake Community Center fence.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

**Westlake Police Department, Development Plan,
fence, 27300 Hilliard Blvd., PP#212-24-008, rep. K.
Bielozer, Ward 3**

Police Chief Kevin Bielozer was present. Mr. Bedell reviewed the proposal which has two options. The first option indicates an 8' tall decorative aluminum fence and gate facing Dover Center Road. The rest of the fence in this option is indicated as being a 7' tall black vinyl coated chain link fence that is topped by 1' tall barbed wire. The proposed fence meets required setbacks; however the total height of this proposal is 8' and a 2' height modification is required for fences that are taller than 6'. Also, in order to approve this fence, Council will need to waive the prohibition against barbed wire in residential districts in section 521.06, Fences and Hedges. The second option indicates an 8' tall decorative aluminum fence used exclusively. This only requires a 2' modification for height. Discussion ensued that the commission preferred the option with the exclusive use of a decorative fence and no barbed wire.

Findings of Fact:

1. The height of the fence is greater than 6', requiring a modification.

Motion: Based upon the above findings-of-fact Mr. Lamb moved and Mr. DiCarlo second to recommend approval of the Westlake Police Department fence with the following conditions:

1. The exclusive use of decorative aluminum fencing is approved.
2. A height modification of 2' is granted for the fence.
3. The Westlake Police Department will coordinate emergency access and egress plan for this area with Westlake Fire Department.
4. The approval is subject to approval of the final plans by the Building and Engineering Departments in compliance with the code and the ordinances of the City of Westlake; and, in the development process, should there be any changes necessitated by engineering requirements that visually alter the

appearance of the development approved by the Planning Commission, the plan shall be re-submitted to the Planning Commission.

ROLL CALL ON APPROVAL:

Yeas: Lamb, Meehan, Getsay, DiCarlo, Van Dyke

Nays: None, motion passed

MISCELLANEOUS - None

ADJOURNMENT

Meeting adjourned at 9:10 p.m. The next regular meeting is scheduled for Monday, October 5, 2015 in the Westlake City Hall Council Chambers.

Daniel Meehan

Chairman Dan Meehan

Nicolette Sackman

Nicolette Sackman, MMC
Clerk of Commissions

Approved: October 5, 2015